

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,804	03/25/2004	Luca D'Ottone		7583
LUCA D'OTTO	03/25/2004 Luca D'Ottone 7590 06/11/2007 D'OTTONE	EXAMINER		
2050 CORAL WAY #503			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
MIAMI, FL 33	143		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
	•		1754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/808,804	D'OTTONE, LUCA				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Wayne Langel	1754				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	· ·						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ap	oril 2007.	•				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-12 is/are rejected.	·	·				
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 又	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority !	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	, , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			•				
Attachment(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application				
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/808,804

Art Unit: 1754

Claims 1-5 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jain et al in view of Bolme or Herbrechtsmeier et al or Lassman et al, further in view of Tamony et al, even further in view of either Sheu et al '950 or Sheu et al '125, still further in view of Larue et al, for the reasons given in the last Office Action. Applicant's argument, that applicant uses a liquid form of the adsorbent, is not convincing, since applicant's claims do not require that the first filter be in solid form. The recitation of "a mixture of hydroxides of alkali and alkaline earth metals" would not exclude the aqueous solutions of alkali and alkaline earth metal hydroxides disclosed at col. 4, lines 13-43 of Jain et al. Applicant's argument, that the quoted patents clearly do not teach the same temperature limitations present in this invention, is not convincing, since claims 1 and 4-12 do not recite a temperature limitation. Regarding claims 2 and 3, Jain et al disclose at col. 4, lines 31-35 that the temperature at which the agueous scrubbing step is carried out is not critical, and is typically carried out at temperatures of about 10 to about 90 C. It would be obvious from such disclosure of Jain et al to carry out the process at a temperature below 200 K.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed,

Application/Control Number: 10/808,804

Art Unit: 1754

had possession of the claimed invention. There is no "description support" in the original specification for maintain9ing the temperature in the first filter between 50 and 200 degrees Kelvin.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The recitation of "selected by the group consisting of..." is improper Markush terminology.

The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.74 in failing to include a "Brief Description of Drawings". See MPEP 608.01 (f).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/808,804

Art Unit: 1754

the advisory action: In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 3:30 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wayne Langel Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754